2.6 Ancillary Matters that belong in a different file with on ancillary or related case designation. Those contested matters that bear no direct relationship to the administration of the estate and that would have the possibility of becoming an independently-tried lawsuit (each potentially with its own docket control and discovery schedules, etc.):

Local Rule 2.6.5

2.6.5Inter vivos Trust Actions (settlor is decedent in probate pending in subject court);

RULE 7: DISMISSAL DOCKETS

7.1 Want of Prosecution. **All contested cases which are not set for trial and which have been on file for more than three (3) years are subject to dismissal**. Upon request of the court, the court staff shall furnish notice to all parties and their counsel that any contested case will be dismissed for want of prosecution pursuant to the provisions of Rule 165a of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure. The procedures for notice of dismissal and retention shall be in compliance with Rules l65a and 306a of the Texas Rules of Civil Procedure.

11.3 Date of Setting. **Contested cases shall be set for trial for a date certain**. More than one case may be set for the same time or day and, if so, the cases will be heard in the order established by court, If a case is not assigned to trial within 10 days of its setting date, the court shall conduct another scheduling conference, set a new trial date, or sign a new docket control order.

11.4 Agreed Continuances. Any trial setting may be continued by written agreement of all parties or their lead counsel, with the approval of the court.

Jurisdiction of a statutory court is delegated by statute. Independent administration of a decedent’s estate is not a privilege created by the legislature but a right recognized by the Legislature as expressed in the estates code.

Probate is an action in rem.

Admiralty Maritime Jurisdiction vs Contract

A case becomes moot if a controversy ceases to exist between the parties at any stage of the legal proceedings. *See In re Kellogg Brown & Root, Inc.*, [166 S.W.3d 732, 737](https://casetext.com/case/in-re-kellogg-brown-root-inc-1#p737) (Tex. 2005). Appellate courts lack jurisdiction over moot controversies. *See Olley v. HCM, LLC*, 449 S.W.3d 572, 575 (Tex. App.-Houston [14th Dist.] 2014, pet. denied).

# Grift of the Brunsting’s Exploitation Chronology

## Introduction

When my best friend suffered emotional trauma at the realization that her little sisters had implemented a plan to steal her share of the family trust inheritance, I gave my word that I would help her defend her rights in that property. That right in pro-per-ty (possession, dominion and control) vested equally in each of the five beneficiaries at the death of their mother on 11/11/2011 and should have been divided by 5 immediately after the passing of the last trust settlor. It should have been a quick easy process but the alleged trustee as of December 21, 2010, Anita Brunsting, had failed to establish and maintain books and records of accounts. One cannot divide what one cannot account for and, as had been discovered along the way, Anita didn’t bother to establish and maintain books and records of accounts because she never intended to divide by five.

## [SDTX 4:12-cv-592](http://www.probatemafia.com/Brunsting/Tab%201%202012-02-27%20Case%20412-cv-592%20Curtis%20Original%20Federal%20Complaint.pdf) – Breach failure to disclose and failure to account

I’m not practicing law, by necessity I’m learning it on the fly. I think one of the most alarming aspects is that the obligations involved are of a fiduciary nature. Fiduciary duties are the highest level of legal and moral obligation known to our law.

## 5th Cir. No. 12-20164 – Probate exception published Curtis v Brunsting 704 F.3d 406

## SDTX 4:12-cv-592 – Report of Special Master (accounting), Preliminary Injunction

## Harris County Probate Theater No. 4 No. 412249-401

# Munson COMA – Induced – ICU - aortic trunk and valve replacement

## SDTX 4:12-cv-592 – Enter attorney Jason Ostrom & Agreed Order for Remand (agreed among the attorneys only)

## Harris County Probate Theater No. 4 No. 412249-402 Remand Converted to Transfer and then dissolved into 412249-401 and made to vanish completely

## SDTX 4:16-cv-1969 RICO – Honest Services Fraud

## 5th Cir. ROA 17-20360 –Appeal RICO

## SDTX 4:12-cv-592 – Rule 60 Motion to Vacate Remand

## 5th Cir. ROA 20-20566

## Harris County Probate Theater No. 4 No. 412249-401

Summary Judgment Order, nonsuits and orders severing parties

## SDTX Case 4:22-cv-01129 - Notice of removal 2022-04-08

## Court of Appeals Number: 01-22-00514-CV

## TX 1st District Court of Appeal ROA No. 01-23-00362-CV

Want of Jurisdiction in Harris County Probate Theater No. 4