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APPELLANTS REQUEST TO SUPPLEMENT THE RECORD

In Appellees Supplemental Brief on Appellate Court Jurisdiction the Appellees argue that Appellant’s cited authorities cannot be relied upon and pointing only to Pappas v. Shamoun & Norman LLP in Appellants reply brief and claiming that no such case authority exists.[[1]](#footnote-1)

The analysis and citations presented by Curtis cannot be relied upon. Frankly, it is not possible to address both the substance of the appellate jurisdiction issue, and all of Curtis’ incorrect citations and confusing discussions of even her correct citations in the 2,500 word limitation required by the Court. But by way of example, and not as a limitation, some examples of the authoritative problems with Curtis’ Response are as follow:

1. A case called Pappas v. Shamoun & Norman LLP is cited for the proposition that an appellate court has jurisdiction to vacate a void judgment and dismiss the trial court proceeding. fn 19[[2]](#footnote-2)

While a case called Pappas v. Shamoun & Norman LLP does in fact exist it is not a published opinion and was not an authority cited by Appellant in any brief.

Respectfully submitted,
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1. Appellees Supplemental brief at P.11 [↑](#footnote-ref-1)
2. Pointing to Appellant’s Response at 1. [↑](#footnote-ref-2)