

**Subject:** Curtis V Brunsting US Treasury Bonds

**From:** Rik Munson <blowintough@att.net>

**Date:** 12/12/2014 5:38 PM

**To:** Mica DeScioli <mica@ostromsain.com>, Jason Ostrom <jason@ostromsain.com>

**X-Mozilla-Status:** 0001

**X-Mozilla-Status2:** 00800000

**Message-ID:** <548B9878.7090906@att.net>

**User-Agent:** Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; rv:24.0) Gecko/20100101 Thunderbird/24.6.0

**MIME-Version:** 1.0

**Content-Type:** multipart/mixed; boundary="-----040902080705080208080704"

- 1) Trustees Anita and Amy knowingly and intentionally let between \$30,000 & \$40,000 in U.S. Treasury EE Bonds become worthless due to inaction while simultaneously opposing a \$25,000 distribution to Curtis for the necessary costs of protecting her beneficial interests against their malfeasance.
- 2) We find buried in the recent farm lease thumbnail a letter from the U.S. Treasury Department to Anita dated December 4, 2014, regarding those same EE bonds. It seems the bonds in question will have passed maturity, expired and can no longer be "reissued or replaced".
- 3) Mrs. Curtis recent inquiry to the U.S. Treasury regarding the status of those EE bonds resulted in a communication stating the criteria for replacing the missing bonds. That criterion required the present trustees to submit certified copies of original trust instruments. At that juncture the bonds were still recoverable.
- 4) Candy ran some of the unaccounted for Thirty Year Treasury Bonds on Nelva's computer after the funeral and estimates the aggregate value at expiration somewhere between \$30,000 & \$40,000.
- 5) At the Hearing involving the Masters report August 2013, the Special Master raised his concerns over the absence of an accounting for EE bonds known to exist and brought to his attention by Curtis but not explained by the defendant trustees.
- 6) October 2013 in the federal court Mrs. Curtis made issue of the missing EE Bonds and the numerous distinctly different signature pages for the alleged same trust instruments, demanding Defendant's certify the wet signed original documents before the court to be made part of the record.
- 7) Defendants have refused or otherwise failed to produce those instruments.
- 8) Email from Nelva to Carl states that the trust instruments are safely back in the safe deposit box. (Elmer's letter states the same)

- 9) Bates stamped documents show Anita closed the safe deposit box as soon as Carl fell ill (July 2010) and opened a different one at the same bank the same day. (She was not a trustee and had no power of Attorney... so where is the July 1, 2008 appointment document that she used for trust transactions?)
- 10) According to George Vie (October 2013 hearing + response to OSC) Mrs. Curtis had been invited to the offices of Vacek & Freed for a visual inspection of the original documents. Vie also proffered a box of documents at the hearing claiming those to be the original documents but refused to select the versions they were relying on as the alleged originals when confronted with the numerous versions.
- 11) Now we see Anita's new counsel selecting random QBD and 2005 Restatement versions on a whim.
- 12) The only reason defendants would refuse to apply to have those bonds reissued should be obvious. This willful misconduct was to avoid certifying documents as valid originals under penalty of perjury before the US Treasury Department.
- 13) I think the reason defendants oppose a distribution to Curtis is equally obvious.
- 14) Where are THE wet signed original trust instruments?

---

Attachments:

US Treasury EE Bonds.PDF

473 KB